

Biomechanical Consequences of a Tear of the Posterior Root of the Medial Meniscus

Similar to Total Meniscectomy

By Robert Allaire, MD, Muturi Muriuki, PhD, Lars Gilbertson, MD, and Christopher D. Harner, MD

Investigation performed at the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Background: Tears of the posterior root of the medial meniscus are becoming increasingly recognized. They can cause rapidly progressive arthritis, yet their biomechanical effects are not understood. The goal of this study was to determine the effects of posterior root tears of the medial meniscus and their repairs on tibiofemoral joint contact pressure and kinematics.

Methods: Nine fresh-frozen cadaver knees were used. An axial load of 1000 N was applied with a custom testing jig at each of four knee-flexion angles: 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90°. The knees were otherwise unconstrained. Four conditions were tested: (1) intact, (2) a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus, (3) a repaired posterior root tear, and (4) a total medial meniscectomy. Fuji pressure-sensitive film was used to record the contact pressure and area for each testing condition. Kinematic data were obtained by using a robotic arm to record the position of the knees for each loading condition. Three-dimensional knee kinematics were analyzed with custom programs with use of previously described transformations. The measured variables were axial rotation, varus angulation, lateral translation, and anterior translation.

Results: In the medial compartment, a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus caused a 25% increase in peak contact pressure compared with that found in the intact condition ($p < 0.001$). Repair restored the peak contact pressure to normal. No difference was detected between the peak contact pressure after the total medial meniscectomy and that associated with the root tear. The peak contact pressure in the lateral compartment after the total medial meniscectomy was up to 13% greater than that for all other conditions ($p = 0.026$). Significant increases in external rotation and lateral tibial translation, compared with the values in the intact knee, were observed in association with the posterior root tear (2.98° and 0.84 mm, respectively) and the meniscectomy (4.45° and 0.80 mm, respectively), and these increases were corrected by the repair.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated significant changes in contact pressure and knee joint kinematics due to a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus. Root repair was successful in restoring joint biomechanics to within normal conditions.

Clinical Relevance: This study provides a biomechanical rationale for surgical repair of posterior root tears of the medial meniscus. Clinical studies are required to define the appropriate patient population for, and to determine the clinical efficacy of, surgical treatment of this injury.

In 1991, a tear of the posterior root of the medial meniscus was first described in a twenty-year-old football player, in whom arthroscopically confirmed degeneration of the articular cartilage rapidly developed¹. Since that time, posterior root tears of the medial meniscus have become increasingly recognized as other investigators have reported a similar, rapidly progressive course of arthritis in their patients with this injury²⁻⁴. Despite this troubling clinical course, little has been reported regarding this injury in the orthopaedic literature.

In the musculoskeletal radiographic literature, there is a growing body of evidence suggesting that a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus leads to meniscal extrusion and is a precursor to the development of degenerative knee arthritis⁴⁻⁹. According to our current understanding of meniscal function, a complete posterior root tear of the medial meniscus would theoretically result in a functional meniscectomy in that complete disruption of the circumferential fibers of the meniscus prohibits the generation of hoop stress, which is crucial to me-

Disclosure: The authors did not receive any outside funding or grants in support of their research for or preparation of this work. Neither they nor a member of their immediate families received payments or other benefits or a commitment or agreement to provide such benefits from a commercial entity. No commercial entity paid or directed, or agreed to pay or direct, any benefits to any research fund, foundation, division, center, clinical practice, or other charitable or nonprofit organization with which the authors, or a member of their immediate families, are affiliated or associated.

niscal function. Without a functional meniscus, the articular cartilage of the knee is exposed to pathologic loads and subsequently degenerates^{6,10-16}. Despite these theoretical consequences, we are not aware of any studies of the biomechanical consequences of a tear of the posterior root of the medial meniscus.

The objective of this study was to describe the biomechanical changes that occur with a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus. Specifically, we had two aims: (1) to determine the effects of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus and a total medial meniscectomy on tibiofemoral joint contact stresses and kinematics in an in vitro model, and (2) to evaluate the ability of a repair of the meniscal root tear to restore joint contact stresses and kinematics. We hypothesized that a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus would cause a significant increase in joint contact pressure in the medial and lateral compartments of the knee as well as an alteration in joint kinematics, that the magnitude of this effect would be similar to that caused by a total medial meniscectomy, and that a repair of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus would restore joint contact pressure and kinematics to normal.

Materials and Methods

Specimens and Preparation

Nine fresh-frozen cadaver knees (five right and four left) from six male and three female donors ranging in age from twenty-four to fifty-four years at the time of death were

radiographed to exclude the possibility of degenerative joint disease or other osseous abnormalities. The number of specimens was determined by performing a power analysis on the initial three specimens, with the assumption of a power of 80% and an alpha of 0.05. The analysis revealed that a sample size of six was required to demonstrate significance. Specimens were stored at -20°C , thawed at room temperature for twenty-four hours prior to dissection, and then examined to exclude the possibility of joint contracture, ligamentous or meniscal injuries, or indicators of degenerative joint disease. A minimally invasive technique preserving the integrity of all vital structures of the knee (Fig. 1, A and B) was used to gain access to the knee for pressure measurements with Fuji Prescale film (Fujifilm USA, Valhalla, New York). To gain access to the posterior aspect of the knee, all skin and subcutaneous tissues as well as the hamstrings and gastrocnemius were dissected while the distal tendinous insertions of the semimembranosus and biceps femoris were preserved. The knee joint and capsule remained intact except for a small ($<1\text{-cm}$) arthrotomy incision made posteromedially, inferior to the meniscus between the oblique popliteal ligament and the root of the medial meniscus. Care was taken not to damage the meniscus, the posterior cruciate ligament, the oblique popliteal ligament, or the root of the medial meniscus. Posterolaterally, a similar ($<1\text{-cm}$) submeniscal arthrotomy incision was made between the popliteus muscle and the root of the lateral meniscus with care taken to




Fig. 1

Specimen preparation and testing setup. A: Anterior schematic of a dissected knee, showing anterior arthrotomies preserving the patellar tendon, medial collateral ligament (mcl), and iliotibial band (IT band). B: Posterior schematic of a dissected knee, showing posterior arthrotomies preserving the posterior cruciate ligament (pcl), oblique popliteal ligament (opl), semimembranosus tendon (sm), popliteus muscle (pop), and lateral collateral ligament (lcl). The posterior root of the medial meniscus (PMMR) is exposed. C: Schematic of the testing jig with the knee mounted. (The materials testing machine is not shown.) The arrows indicate the degrees of freedom allowed during testing (flexion, tibial axial rotation, medial/lateral translation, varus/valgus angulation, and anterior/posterior translation). Pat = patella.




Fig. 2
Schematic of the repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus. A horizontal mattress suture is passed through the meniscal root. A 2.4-mm tunnel is drilled from the anterolateral aspect of the tibia to the root insertion site with use of an anterior cruciate ligament guide. The free ends of the suture are passed through the tunnel with use of a suture passer. The free ends are then tied over a button under manual tension.

preserve both structures as well as the posterior cruciate ligament. These incisions allowed access to the posterior root of the medial meniscus and facilitated inspection of the posterior compartments to confirm consistent placement of the Fuji film below the meniscus as well as to verify that the film was not folded on itself. Submeniscal arthrotomies were performed anteromedially and anterolaterally to allow insertion of the Fuji film while preserving the collateral ligaments, coronary ligaments, and patellar tendon. Kirschner wires (2.4 mm) were used to drill four holes (two medial and two lateral) from the anterior cortex of the tibia through the subchondral bone of the tibial plateau while protecting the meniscus with a flat metal guard. These holes allowed us to mark the film during testing and facilitated its orientation relative to the tibial plateau for comparison between trials and loading conditions. Finally, the anteromedial aspect of the tibial metaphysis was exposed to allow rigid attachment of a plastic cube with a nylon screw and cyanoacrylate composite cement. An identical cube was attached to the anterolateral aspect of the femur just proximal to the supracondylar flare. The cubes served as registration blocks for kinematic analysis. Specimens then underwent computed tomographic scanning for later construction of three-dimensional solid models and kinematic analysis.

Testing Protocol

The femur and tibia were potted in epoxy compound and mounted in a custom testing jig that allowed positioning of the knee in 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° of flexion without otherwise constraining the knee (Fig. 1, C). The flexion angles were tested sequentially, and were determined by the jig and confirmed with a MicroScribe 3DX digitizing robotic arm (Immersion, San Jose, California). The jig was mounted in a

materials testing machine (TTS-25 kN; Adelaide Testing Machines, Toronto, Ontario, Canada), and each knee was loaded to 1000 N of compression, which is consistent with the amount of compression used in previous studies of the human meniscus¹⁷⁻²⁴. No additional forces or moments were applied to the knee, and no attempt was made to place the knee in any specific position except for the described flexion angle. Thus, the position tested was the passive position dictated by the knee flexion angle, the inherent anatomy, and the applied load. Four meniscal conditions were tested: intact, a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus, a repaired posterior root tear of the medial meniscus, and a total medial meniscectomy. According to the senior author's (C.D.H.) technique, the posterior root tear of the medial meniscus was repaired in an open manner with two number-2 Ticron horizontal mattress sutures (Davis and Geck, Wayne, New Jersey) through the meniscal root. The free ends were tied over a button after they were passed through a bone tunnel that had been drilled from the anterolateral aspect of the tibia to the root insertion site with use of an Arthrex anterior cruciate ligament tunnel guide (Arthrex, Naples, Florida) (Fig. 2). A total medial meniscectomy was performed by removing the entire medial meniscus but preserving the medial collateral ligament and the coronary ligaments.

Prior to each application of load, a Fuji film packet was inserted through the anterior arthrotomies both medially and laterally under the meniscus. Once load was applied, a blunt wire was inserted through each of the previously drilled tunnels to mark the position of the film relative to the articular surface of the tibia. Load was applied for two minutes to comply with the manufacturer's recommendations and in agreement with our calibration protocol to allow accurate quantification of the pressures. For each loading condition, two packets of super-

Overall Effect of Meniscus Condition on Peak Contact Pressure and Area




Fig. 3

Overall effect of the meniscal condition on peak contact pressure and area in both the medial and lateral compartments pooled across all flexion angles. The error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. * $P < 0.05$ compared with the intact condition. † $P < 0.05$ compared with the repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus (PMMR). ‡ $P < 0.05$ compared with the tear of the posterior root of the medial meniscus.

low-pressure and two packets of low-pressure Fuji film were used, resulting in a total of four trials for each meniscal condition and flexion angle.

Kinematic data, which were collected simultaneously with the contact pressure data, were obtained with use of the Micro-Scribe 3DX digitizing robotic arm to record the positions of the registration blocks for each loading condition²⁵. (The methods for pressure measurement and kinematic analysis are further described in the Appendix.)

Two-factor repeated-measures analysis of variance with a significance level of $p < 0.05$ was used to detect the overall effect, pooled across all flexion angles, of the meniscal condition on contact pressure, contact area, and kinematics. This also allowed analysis of any interaction between the variables. Single-factor repeated-measures analysis of variance with a significance level of $p < 0.05$ was used to detect the effects of each meniscal condition at the specific flexion angles. Least-significant-difference post-hoc analysis was used.

Results

Contact Pressure and Area

When pooled across all flexion angles, the overall peak contact pressure in the medial compartment was significantly increased by a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus as compared with the pressure in the intact knee (+25.4%, $p < 0.001$, Fig. 3). Repair of the posterior root tear of the medial

meniscus restored the overall peak contact pressure to control values. No difference was detected between the effects of the posterior root tear of the medial meniscus and the total medial meniscectomy on the overall peak contact pressure in the medial compartment. In the lateral compartment, no differences were detected among the intact, root-tear, and root-repair conditions, but the peak contact pressures for these conditions were all significantly less than that after the total medial meniscectomy ($p = 0.029$, $p = 0.023$, and $p = 0.001$ compared with the intact, root-tear, and root-repair conditions, respectively). The joint contact area decreased in the medial compartment as the peak contact pressure increased (Fig. 3). The meniscectomy had the largest effect on the joint contact area. Two-factor repeated-measures analysis of variance revealed no significant interactions between variables.

No significant effects on the peak contact pressures in the medial compartment were noted at 0° of knee flexion (Fig. 4). At 30°, 60°, and 90° of knee flexion, the results were similar to the overall results reported above. A posterior root tear of the medial meniscus caused a significant increase in the peak medial compartment pressure when compared with the pressure in the intact knee ($p < 0.001$ at 30°, 60°, and 90°). The root repair restored the peak contact pressure to that in the intact knee. No differences were detected between the pressure in the intact knee and that associated with the repair or between the pressure associated with the root tear and that as-

Peak Contact Pressure in the Medial Compartment as a Function of Flexion Angle




Fig. 4

A: Effect of the meniscal condition on peak contact pressure in the medial compartment as a function of the flexion angle. The error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. * $P < 0.003$ compared with the intact condition. † $P < 0.003$ compared with the repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus (PMMR). **B:** Representative Fuji-film pressure distributions. The arrows point to regions of increased pressure. 1 = intact condition, 2 = repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus, 3 = tear of the posterior root of the medial meniscus, 4 = total meniscectomy. The color scale at the bottom shows values representing megapascals. Note the pressure concentration associated with the posterior root tear and the total meniscectomy compared with the uniform pressure distribution associated with the intact and root-repair conditions.

sociated with the total medial meniscectomy. The contact area in the medial compartment showed similar reciprocal changes throughout flexion, with the notable exception that, compared with all other meniscal conditions, the total medial meniscectomy resulted in significantly lower contact areas at all flexion angles ($p < 0.05$ for all flexion angles and comparisons; see Appendix).

The total medial meniscectomy caused significant increases in the peak contact pressure in the lateral compartment (see Appendix) at 0° (+16.4%, $p = 0.004$, compared with the intact knee; +15.4%, $p = 0.011$, compared with the root tear; and +18.7%, $p = 0.001$, compared with the root repair). The root repair caused a significant decrease in the lateral compartment pressure at 30° when compared with the pressures for all other meniscal conditions ($p = 0.002$ compared with the intact knee, $p = 0.018$ compared with the root tear, and $p = 0.004$ compared with the total medial meniscectomy). At 60° , no differences were observed. The total medial meniscectomy caused a significant increase in the lateral compartment peak contact pressure at 90° (+13.8%, $p = 0.026$, compared with the intact knee). No effect on the contact area in the lateral compartment was detected.

Kinematics

Three of the specimens could not be included in the kinematic analysis because technical malfunctions had resulted in uninterpretable data; this left six specimens available for this analysis (data summarized in the Appendix). When pooled across all flexion angles, external rotation was found to have increased in association with the posterior root tear of the medial meniscus while the root repair restored rotation to baseline values; the total medial meniscectomy further increased external rotation (Fig. 5). This effect was found to be significant when the root-tear condition was compared with the intact ($p = 0.009$) and root-repair ($p = 0.003$) conditions and when the total medial meniscectomy was compared with the root repair condition ($p = 0.037$). Lateral translation of the tibia relative to the femur increased in association with the root tear and was corrected by the root repair; the total medial meniscectomy also increased lateral translation. The difference in lateral translation was found to be significant when the root-tear condition was compared with the root-repair condition ($p = 0.011$) as well as when the total medial meniscectomy was compared with the intact ($p = 0.039$) and root-repair ($p =$




Fig. 5

Overall effect of the meniscal condition on tibial translation and rotation pooled across all knee flexion angles. The values shown are the changes in the translation or rotation, as compared with that of the intact knee. The error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. * $P < 0.05$ compared with the intact condition. † $P < 0.05$ compared with the repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus (PMMR).

Effect of Meniscus Condition on Tibial External Rotation as a Function of Flexion Angle




Fig. 6

Change in tibial external rotation, as compared with that of the intact knee, as a function of the flexion angle. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Positive values indicate external rotation. * $P < 0.05$ compared with the intact condition. † $P < 0.05$ compared with the repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus (PMMR).

0.003) conditions. There was a trend toward increased varus alignment in association with the root tear, which was corrected by the root repair; the total medial meniscectomy also increased varus alignment. This effect was found to be significant when the total medial meniscectomy was compared with the root-repair condition ($p = 0.017$). Anterior translation of the tibia relative to the femur also increased with injury to the root, with the difference reaching significance when the total medial meniscectomy and intact states were compared ($p = 0.032$). No significant difference in any kinematic measurement was found between the intact and root-repair conditions or between the root-tear and total medial meniscectomy conditions.

The overall kinematic changes noted above were observed consistently when the changes were analyzed according to the specific flexion angles. Tibial external rotation increased in association with the posterior root tear of the medial meniscus and was restored by the posterior root repair; the total medial meniscectomy further increased tibial external rotation (Fig. 6). At 30°, 60°, and 90°, tibial external rotation associated with the root tear was significantly increased compared with that in the intact knee ($p = 0.014$, $p = 0.005$, and $p = 0.05$, respectively) and compared with that associated with the root repair ($p = 0.011$, $p = 0.004$, and $p = 0.005$, respectively). The effect of total medial meniscectomy on tibial external rotation at 30° was found to be significant when the meniscectomy

condition was compared with the intact ($p = 0.014$) and root-repair ($p = 0.011$) conditions. No difference in tibial external rotation was detected between the intact and root-repair conditions or between the root-tear and total medial meniscectomy conditions.

The posterior root tear of the medial meniscus increased lateral tibial translation, and the posterior medial meniscal root repair corrected it at all flexion angles; the total medial meniscectomy also increased lateral tibial translation (Fig. 7). This effect was found to be significant at 30° when the total medial meniscectomy was compared with the intact ($p = 0.041$) and root-repair ($p = 0.040$) conditions, at 60° when the root-tear condition was compared with the intact ($p < 0.001$) and root-repair ($p = 0.005$) conditions as well as when the total medial meniscectomy was compared with the intact condition ($p = 0.002$), and at 90° when the root-repair condition was compared with root-tear ($p = 0.049$) and total medial meniscectomy ($p = 0.001$) conditions. No difference was detected between the intact and root-repair conditions or between the root-tear and total medial meniscectomy conditions at any knee position.

The overall trend toward increased varus angulation in association with the posterior root tear and correction by the root repair was observed at 0°, 30°, and 90° of flexion (see Appendix). The root tear caused a significant increase in varus alignment at 30° when compared with that associated with the intact ($p = 0.035$) and root-repair ($p = 0.030$) conditions. The

Effect of Meniscus Condition on Lateral Tibial Translation as a Function of Flexion Angle




Fig. 7

Change in lateral tibial translation, as compared with that of the intact knee, as a function of the flexion angle. The error bars represent the standard error of the mean. Positive values indicate lateral tibial translation. * $P < 0.05$ compared with the intact condition. † $P < 0.05$ compared with the repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus (PMMR).

root repair overcorrected the varus alignment at 90°. No difference in varus angulation was detected between the root-tear and total medial meniscectomy conditions at any flexion angle.

Anterior tibial translation increased in association with the root tear and the total medial meniscectomy at each flexion angle (see Appendix). The increase at 60° was found to be significant when the root-tear ($p = 0.019$) and total medial meniscectomy ($p = 0.010$) conditions were compared with the intact condition.

Discussion

This study demonstrated that a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus causes increased knee contact pressures and kinematic derangements in a cadaver model. The peak pressures in the medial compartment were significantly elevated by a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus and were restored to baseline after a repair of such a tear. Both a total medial meniscectomy and a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus significantly altered knee kinematics.

Because of the small number of specimens in our study, we were unable to draw any definitive conclusions regarding the biomechanical equivalence of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus and a total medial meniscectomy. (A power analysis with the assumption of a power of 80% and $\alpha = 0.05$ demonstrated that 2977 specimens would be required to show a difference.) However, we did not detect a difference in any measured variable between the root-tear and total medial meniscectomy conditions, a finding that is in agreement with our hypothesis that loss of the function of the posterior root of the medial meniscus results in a functional meniscectomy. Similarly, we were unable to conclude that a repair of the posterior root of the medial meniscus is equivalent to an intact meniscus. (One hundred and forty-two specimens would have been required to show a difference, according to our power analysis.) However, we were able to demonstrate a significant improvement in medial compartment contact pressure, tibial external rotation, and lateral tibial translation between the root-tear and root-repair conditions. The negative effect of mechanical pressure on articular cartilage has been well documented²⁶⁻²⁹. Surgical intervention to restore a deficient posterior root of the medial meniscus may restore normal knee biomechanics and prevent the development of early degenerative joint disease in an appropriately selected patient population.

Posterior root tears of the medial meniscus are an under-recognized clinical entity that result in rapidly progressive degenerative arthritis^{1,2,7,30}. Since the original report by Pagnani et al.¹, little progress has been made in terms of treating or understanding this injury. Lerer et al.⁷ evaluated patients with an extrusion of the medial meniscus and found significant associations between pathologic extrusion, loss of articular cartilage, and a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus that resulted in loss of meniscal function. These findings led the authors to conclude that techniques for the repair of posterior root tears of the medial meniscus should be developed to slow the progression of, and perhaps prevent the development of, osteoarthritis.

Beasley et al.² described a cohort of patients with a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus who were distrib-

uted bimodally into a middle-aged group with a body mass index of >30 and a younger, athletic group who had sustained an acute knee injury. None of these patients had a posterior root repair, and they uniformly had rapidly progressive degenerative knee disease. Ahn et al. described repair of posterior root tears of the medial meniscus with a technique similar to that used in our study³. We are not aware of any biomechanical studies of posterior root tears of the medial meniscus.

Multiple studies have demonstrated increased contact pressure and decreased contact area in the medial compartment of knees that underwent a total medial meniscectomy^{15-17,21,24,31,32}. Our results are consistent with those reports. Many researchers have studied the effects of partial meniscectomy and meniscal transplant on medial compartment contact pressure and area³³⁻³⁸. The effect of clinically relevant tears on joint contact pressure and area has not been as extensively studied^{16,17}. Several authors have evaluated bucket-handle tears of the medial meniscus but not the effect of this tear or its repair on joint contact pressure^{20,39,40}. Ours is the only study of which we are aware to focus on the effect of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus and its repair on knee contact pressure and kinematics.

This study helps to describe the role of the meniscus in knee kinematics in multiple degrees of freedom. Similar to the findings reported by previous authors⁴¹, we demonstrated increased anterior tibial translation in association with medial meniscectomy. This is thought to be secondary to a buttress effect of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus against the posterior femoral condyle as the tibia is translated anteriorly. We additionally demonstrated increased lateral tibial translation in association with loss of the medial meniscus. By a similar mechanism, the intact middle horn of the medial meniscus buttresses against the medial portion of the medial femoral condyle. Loss of this buttress allows the tibia to translate laterally relative to the femur.

The role of the medial meniscus in rotational knee kinematics can be explained in a similar manner. Our data demonstrated increased external tibial rotation of up to 6° with loss of the medial meniscus. The intact cruciate ligaments and lateral compartment of the knee hold the central and lateral portions of the knee relatively fixed so that when the medial aspect of the tibia subluxates anteriorly it creates a rotational moment, thus resulting in external rotation. The change in varus alignment may be understood in a similar manner. Lateral translation in combination with loss of a spacer on the medial side of the knee allows compression of the medial compartment, resulting in varus alignment.

These kinematic changes are likely responsible for the changes in lateral compartment pressures demonstrated in this study and in previous biomechanical investigations^{23,36,42}. As the tibia subluxates laterally, and externally rotates, the lateral tibial spine is brought closer to the lateral femoral condyle, resulting in pathologic loading of the articular cartilage and subsequent degeneration. This corresponds with our clinical observations that chondrosis develops over the lateral tibial spine in patients who have a normal lateral meniscus in the presence of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus (see Appendix). We did not observe any changes in lateral compartment contact area


despite significant changes in lateral peak contact pressure after medial meniscectomy. We did not measure mean pressure, which would be expected to vary inversely with the contact area.

Our model was designed in an attempt to reproduce as accurately as possible the in vivo situation, but, as is the case with all in vitro studies, ours has several limitations. It is a cadaver model in which we are unable to reproduce normal tissue biology, including muscle contractions, proprioception, and healing. We used a simple, static loading scheme without applying any rotational or translational forces, and we did not load any of the muscles around the knee, thus limiting our ability to extrapolate these data to a clinical population. Furthermore, the use of a passive loading scheme may have resulted in underestimation or overestimation of both the kinematic and the pressure effects. We did not use an arthroscopic technique to perform our repairs. Because of improved access to the posterior root of the medial meniscus, our repairs may have been better than those achievable arthroscopically. Some knee dissection was necessary to obtain intra-articular pressure measurements. Previous authors have disarticulated the knee, performed extensive arthrotomies, and performed osteotomies to gain access to the knee. Our approach preserved all of the described stabilizers of the knee without disrupting the articular anatomy, but the small knee arthrotomies and superficial dissection still may have had an effect on the knee biomechanics.

In conclusion, as is the case for any cadaver study, extrapolation to a clinical patient population should be done cautiously. This study demonstrated the deleterious effects of a posterior root tear of the medial meniscus on knee biomechanics and showed this injury to be similar to a total medial meniscectomy in terms of its effects on knee joint contact pressure and kinematics. This study also suggests a biome-

chanical rationale for repair of posterior root tears of the medial meniscus. The model developed in this study will be used to investigate other clinically relevant meniscal tears. Clinical investigations of surgical repair are warranted.

Appendix

 A more detailed description of the methods used to analyze joint contact area- and pressure and knee kinematics; a table presenting kinematic data; and figures showing medial compartment contact area, lateral compartment peak contact pressure, varus angulation, anterior tibial translation, and lateral compartment wear associated with the posterior root tears of the medial meniscus are available with the electronic versions of this article, on our web site at jbjs.org (go to the article citation and click on "Supplementary Material") and on our quarterly CD/DVD (call our subscription department, at 781-449-9780, to order the CD or DVD). ■

Robert Allaire, MD
Muturi Muriuki, PhD
Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Pittsburgh,
3471 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1011, Pittsburgh, PA 15213. E-mail address
for R. Allaire: allairerb@upmc.edu

Lars Gilbertson, MD
Spine Institute Research Laboratory, Cleveland Clinic,
9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195

Christopher D. Harner, MD
Center for Sports Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center,
3200 South Water Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15203

References

- Pagnani MJ, Cooper DE, Warren RF. Extrusion of the medial meniscus. *Arthroscopy*. 1991;7:297-300.
- Beasley L, Armfield RD, West R, Irgang J, Gilbert M, Harner CD. Medial meniscus root tears: an unsolved problem—demographic, radiographic, and arthroscopic findings. *Pittsburgh Orthop J*. 2005;16:155.
- Ahn JH, Wang JH, Yoo JC, Noh HK, Park JH. A pull out suture for transection of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus: using a posterior trans-septal portal. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2007;15:1510-3.
- Jones AO, Houang MT, Low RS, Wood DG. Medial meniscus posterior root attachment injury and degeneration: MRI findings. *Australas Radiol*. 2006;50:306-13.
- Gale DR, Chaisson CE, Totterman SM, Schwartz RK, Gale ME, Felson D. Meniscal subluxation: association with osteoarthritis and joint space narrowing. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 1999;7:526-32.
- Kenny C. Radial displacement of the medial meniscus and Fairbank's signs. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1997;339:163-73.
- Lerer DB, Umans HR, Hu MX, Jones MH. The role of meniscal root pathology and radial meniscal tear in medial meniscal extrusion. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2004;33:569-74.
- Adams JG, McAlindon T, Dimasi M, Carey J, Eustace S. Contribution of meniscal extrusion and cartilage loss to joint space narrowing in osteoarthritis. *Clin Radiol*. 1999;54:502-6.
- Sugita T, Kawamata T, Ohnuma M, Yoshizumi Y, Sato K. Radial displacement of the medial meniscus in varus osteoarthritis of the knee. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2001;387:171-7.
- Fairbank T. Knee joint changes after meniscectomy. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1948;30:664-70.
- Hede A, Larsen E, Sandberg H. The long term outcome of open total and partial meniscectomy related to the quantity and site of the meniscus removed. *Int Orthop*. 1992;16:122-5.
- Hede A, Larsen E, Sandberg H. Partial versus total meniscectomy. A prospective, randomised study with long-term follow-up. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*. 1992;74:118-21.
- Hunter DJ, Zhang YQ, Niu JB, Tu X, Amin S, Clancy M, Guermazi A, Grigorian M, Gale D, Felson DT. The association of meniscal pathologic changes with cartilage loss in symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006;54:795-801.
- Jaureguito JW, Elliot JS, Lietner T, Dixon LB, Reider B. The effects of arthroscopic partial lateral meniscectomy in an otherwise normal knee: a retrospective review of functional, clinical, and radiographic results. *Arthroscopy*. 1995;11:29-36.
- Krause WR, Pope MH, Johnson RJ, Wilder DG. Mechanical changes in the knee after meniscectomy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1976;58:599-604.
- Radin EL, de Lamotte F, Maquet P. Role of the menisci in the distribution of stress in the knee. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 1984;185:290-4.
- Baratz ME, Fu FH, Mengato R. Meniscal tears: the effect of meniscectomy and of repair on intraarticular contact areas and stress in the human knee. A preliminary report. *Am J Sports Med*. 1986;14:270-5.
- Fukubayashi T, Kurosawa H. The contact area and pressure distribution pattern of the knee. A study of normal and osteoarthrotic knee joints. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 1980;51:871-9.

19. Brown TD, Shaw DT. In vitro contact stress distribution on the femoral condyles. *J Orthop Res.* 1984;2:190-9.
20. Becker R, Wirz D, Wolf C, Göpfert B, Nebelung W, Friederich N. Measurement of meniscofemoral contact pressure after repair of bucket-handle tears with biodegradable implants. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2005;125:254-60.
21. Bourne RB, Finlay JB, Papadopoulos P, Andrae P. The effect of medial meniscectomy on strain distribution in the proximal part of the tibia. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1984;66:1431-7.
22. Harris ML, Morberg P, Bruce WJ, Walsh WR. An improved method for measuring tibiofemoral contact areas in total knee arthroplasty: a comparison of K-scan sensor and Fuji film. *J Biomech.* 1999;32:951-8.
23. Ihn JC, Kim SJ, Park IH. In vitro study of contact area and pressure distribution in the human knee after partial and total meniscectomy. *Int Orthop.* 1993;17:214-8.
24. Walker PS, Erkman MJ. The role of the menisci in force transmission across the knee. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1975;109:184-92.
25. Fischer KJ, Manson TT, Pfaeffle HJ, Tomaino MM, Woo SL. A method for measuring joint kinematics designed for accurate registration of kinematic data to models constructed from CT data. *J Biomech.* 2001;34:377-83.
26. Ewers BJ, Dvoracek-Driksna D, Orth MW, Haut RC. The extent of matrix damage and chondrocyte death in mechanically traumatized articular cartilage explants depends on rate of loading. *J Orthop Res.* 2001;19:779-84.
27. Loening AM, James IE, Levenston ME, Badger AM, Frank EH, Kurz B, Nuttall ME, Hung HH, Blake SM, Grodzinsky AJ, Lark MW. Injurious mechanical compression of bovine articular cartilage induces chondrocyte apoptosis. *Arch Biochem Biophys.* 2000;381:205-12.
28. Lucchinetti E, Adams CS, Horton WE Jr, Torzilli PA. Cartilage viability after repetitive loading: a preliminary report. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage.* 2002;10:71-81.
29. Quinn TM, Allen RG, Schalet BJ, Perumbuli P, Hunziker EB. Matrix and cell injury due to sub-impact loading of adult bovine articular cartilage explants: effects of strain rate and peak stress. *J Orthop Res.* 2001;19:242-9.
30. Bin SI, Kim JM, Shin SJ. Radial tears of the posterior horn of the medial meniscus. *Arthroscopy.* 2004;20:373-8.
31. Kurosawa H, Fukubayashi T, Nakajima H. Load-bearing mode of the knee joint: physical behavior of the knee joint with or without menisci. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1980;149:283-90.
32. McKinley TO, English DK, Bay BK. Trabecular bone strain changes resulting from partial and complete meniscectomy. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2003;407:259-67.
33. Chen MI, Branch TP, Hutton WC. Is it important to secure the horns during lateral meniscal transplantation? A cadaveric study. *Arthroscopy.* 1996;12:174-81.
34. Huang A, Hull ML, Howell SM, Haut Donahue T. Identification of cross-sectional parameters of lateral meniscal allografts that predict tibial contact pressure in human cadaveric knees. *J Biomech Eng.* 2002;124:481-9.
35. Paletta GA Jr, Manning T, Snell E, Parker R, Bergfeld J. The effect of allograft meniscal replacement on intraarticular contact area and pressures in the human knee. A biomechanical study. *Am J Sports Med.* 1997;25:692-8.
36. Peña E, Calvo B, Martínez MA, Palanca D, Doblaré M. Finite element analysis of the effect of meniscal tears and meniscectomies on human knee biomechanics. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 2005;20:498-507.
37. Sekaran SV, Hull ML, Howell SM. Nonanatomic location of the posterior horn of a medial meniscal autograft implanted in a cadaveric knee adversely affects the pressure distribution on the tibial plateau. *Am J Sports Med.* 2002;30:74-82.
38. Zielinska B, Donahue TL. 3D finite element model of meniscectomy: changes in joint contact behavior. *J Biomech Eng.* 2006;128:115-23.
39. Dürselen L, Schneider J, Galler M, Claes LE, Bauer G. Cyclic joint loading can affect the initial stability of meniscal fixation implants. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* 2003;18:44-9.
40. Richards DP, Barber FA, Herbert MA. Compressive loads in longitudinal lateral meniscus tears: a biomechanical study in porcine knees. *Arthroscopy.* 2005;21:1452-6.
41. Levy IM, Torzilli PA, Warren RF. The effect of medial meniscectomy on anterior-posterior motion of the knee. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1982;64:883-8.
42. Peña E, Calvo B, Martínez MA, Palanca D, Doblaré M. Why lateral meniscectomy is more dangerous than medial meniscectomy. A finite element study. *J Orthop Res.* 2006;24:1001-10.
43. Ahmed AM, Burke DL. In-vitro measurement of static pressure distribution in synovial joints—Part I: Tibial surface of the knee. *J Biomech Eng.* 1983;105:216-25.
44. Brand RJ. Joint contact stress: a reasonable surrogate for biological processes? *Iowa Orthop J.* 2005;25:82-94.
45. Kuroda R, Kambic H, Valdevit A, Andrich JT. Articular cartilage contact pressure after tibial tuberosity transfer. A cadaveric study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2001;29:403-9.
46. McDermott ID, Sharifi F, Bull AM, Gupte CM, Thomas RW, Amis AA. An anatomical study of meniscal allograft sizing. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2004;12:130-5.
47. Hale JE, Brown TD. Contact stress gradient detection limits of Pressensor film. *J Biomech Eng.* 1992;114:352-7.
48. Grood ES, Suntay WJ. A joint coordinate system for the clinical description of three-dimensional motions: application to the knee. *J Biomech Eng.* 1983;105:136-44.